PASSED PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING MARCH 27, 2007

CALL TO ORDER; Chair Janet Nelson called the meeting to order at 7:00p.m. March 27, 2007.

ROLL CALL: $\hfill\square$ Harry Smith, Rusty Radloff, Janet Nelson, Tony Garofalo, Melodie Dobbins, all present.

- >Approval of February 27, 2007 regular meeting minutes, Tony motions to accept, Melodie seconds the motion. Vote taken Motion passed.
- >Our public tonight is Marv Voss from the County Commission.
- >Comments; Melodie said that the last of the Land Use Plan was sent to Mark on March 5, 2007.
- >Communications; Janet has the MTA magazine for us to read and return to her.
- >Shamblin Application; \Box no word from Paul that he is ready to continue with his application. We will continue to keep him on the agenda.
- >Island in Lake Allegan; Discussion was held. It is the opinion of the Planning Commission that the township attorney, the assessor, and the Township board look at this. We think that if you consider taxing the island. What about the land under the water of Lake Allegan.
- >Future Land Use Plan; We received our final copies of the Land Plan today. We will review it, and also request the township board review it at the same time. In an effort to save time. We hope to have there comments by our next meeting. Our next meeting will be held at an earlier date than usual \Box due to some conflicts of dates. It will be held on the 12^{th} , of \Box April at 7:00p.m. We can then review comments from the board and combine them with our comments. We can then revise the plan, and then submit it to the board for release to the other entities.

Rob Hilliard called Janet and asked for a copy of the Land Use Plan when we have it ready for distribution. He would like it on disk form. Janet said it would probably be ready in May.

- >Discussion on Nature View, [] if all the lots have water or not.
- >Ferrin road agreement; nothing has been completed on the Ferrin's part. Tony is to speak to the board about this.
- >Melodie thinks that we need an ordinance; such as if a developer chooses to clear cut the property then he needs to remove the stumps and brush and level the property ready to build. If he leaves it as is, then the clearing is up to the new buyer of the property .This could perhaps eliminate the clear cutting of property and then leaving the mess for the surrounding property owners to live with.

>Motion to adjourn by Tony and seconded by Rusty. Vote taken meeting adjourned at 8:25p.m.

Respectfully submitted, Melodie Dobbins